IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.11922 of 2024

Decided on: 24th October, 2024

Anup Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Bonit Prakash, Advocate vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta and Mr. Amandeep Sharma, Additional Advocates General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Amandeep Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. This writ petition has been filed for the grant of following substantive relief:-

> *"i. That the respondents may be ordered to continue the petitioner in service upto the age of 60 years and the respondents may be restrained from retiring the petitioner at the age of 58 years."*

3. Admittedly, the petitioner has invoked extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the

---

[1]**Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

2024:HHC:10180

Constitution of India without even preferring any representation to the competent authority for the redressal of his grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned vice counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be preferring representation within two weeks from today. In case such a representation is so made, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law within a period of six weeks thereafter. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 24, 2024
Mukesh